arXiv:1504.00245v2 [math.DG] 10 Jul 2015

Two elliptic closed geodesics on positively curved Finsler spheres

Huagui Duan *

School of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC
Nankai University
Tianjin 300071, The People's Republic of China

Abstract

In this paper, we prove that for every Finsler n-dimensional sphere (S^n, F) with reversibility λ and flag curvature K satisfying $\left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda}\right)^2 < K \le 1$, either there exist infinitely many closed geodesics, or there exist at least two elliptic closed geodesics and each linearized Poincaré map has at least one eigenvalue of the form $e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}$ with θ being an irrational multiple of π.

Key words: Positively curved, closed geodesic, elliptic, Finsler metric, spheres.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C22, 58E05, 58E10.

1 Introduction and main result

A closed curve on a Finsler manifold is a closed geodesic if it is locally the shortest path connecting any two nearby points on this curve. As usual, on any Finsler manifold (M, F), a closed geodesic $c : S^1 = \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} \to M$ is *prime* if it is not a multiple covering (i.e., iteration) of any other closed geodesics. Here the m-th iteration c^m of c is defined by $c^m(t) = c(mt)$. The inverse curve c^{-1} of c is defined by $c^{-1}(t) = c(1-t)$ for $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Note that unlike Riemannian manifold, the inverse curve c^{-1} of a closed geodesic c on a irreversible Finsler manifold need not be a geodesic. We call two prime closed geodesics c and d *distinct* if there is no $\theta \in (0, 1)$ such that $c(t) = d(t+\theta)$ for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$. On a reversible Finsler (or Riemannian) manifold, two closed geodesics c and d are called *geometrically distinct* if $c(S^1) \ne d(S^1)$, i.e., their image sets in M are distinct. We shall omit the word *distinct* when we talk about more than one prime closed geodesic.

For a closed geodesic c on n-dimensional manifold $(M,\ F)$, denote by P_c the linearized Poincaré map of c. Then $P_c \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n-2)$ is symplectic. For any $M \in \mathrm{Sp}(2k)$, we define the *elliptic height* $e(M)$ of M to be the total algebraic multiplicity of all eigenvalues of M on the unit circle $\mathbf{U} = \{z \in$

---

*Partially supported by NNSF (No.11131004, 11471169), LPMC of MOE of China and Nankai University. E-mail: duanhg@nankai.edu.cn

$\mathbf{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$ in the complex plane $\mathbf{C}$. Since M is symplectic, $e(M)$ is even and $0 \le e(M) \le 2k$. A closed geodesic c on the n-dimensional manifold (M, F) is called *elliptic* if $e(P_c) = 2(n-1)$, i.e., all the eigenvalues of P_c locate on $\mathbf{U}$; *hyperbolic* if $e(P_c) = 0$, i.e., all the eigenvalues of P_c locate away from $\mathbf{U}$; *non-degenerate* if 1 is not an eigenvalue of P_c. A Finsler manifold $(M,\ F)$ is called *bumpy* if all the closed geodesics on it are non-degenerate.

There is a famous conjecture in Riemannian geometry which claims there exist infinitely many closed geodesics on any compact Riemannian manifold. This conjecture has been proved except for compact rank one symmetric spaces. The results of Franks [12] in 1992 and Bangert [3] in 1993 imply this conjecture is true for any Riemannian 2-sphere. But once one move to the Finsler case, the conjecture becomes false. It was quite surprising when Katok [15] in 1973 found some irreversible Finsler metrics on spheres with only finitely many closed geodesics and all closed geodesics are non-degenerate and elliptic (cf. [31]).

Recently, index iterated theory of closed geodesics (cf. [5] and [19]) has been applied to study the closed geodesic problem on Finsler manifolds. For example, Bangert and Long in [4] show that there exist at least two closed geodesics on every (S^2, F). After that, a great number of multiplicity and stability results has appeared (cf. [7]-[10], [11], [20], [21], [22], [26], [27]-[30] and therein).

In [24], Rademacher has firstly introduced the reversibility $\lambda = \lambda(M, F)$ of a compact Finsler manifold defined by

$$\lambda = \max\{F(-X) \mid X \in TM,\ F(X) = 1\} \ge 1.$$

Then Rademacher in [25] present some results about multiplicity and the length of closed geodesics and about their stability properties. For example, let F be a Finsler metric on S^n with reversibility λ and flag curvature K satisfying $\left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda}\right)^2 < K \le 1$, then there exist at least $n/2-1$ closed geodesics with length $< 2n\pi$. If $\frac{9\lambda^2}{4(1+\lambda)^2} < K \le 1$ and $\lambda < 2$, then there exists a closed geodesic of elliptic-parabolic, i.e., its linearized Poincaré map splits into 2-dimensional rotations and a part whose eigenvalues are ± 1. Some similar results in the Riemannian case (in this case, $\lambda = 1$) have been obtained in [1] and [2].

Recently, Wang in [27] proved that for every Finsler n-dimensional sphere S^n with reversibility λ and flag curvature K satisfying $\left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda}\right)^2 < K \le 1$, either there exist infinitely many prime closed geodesics or there exists one elliptic closed geodesics whose linearized Poincaré map has at least one eigenvalue which is of the form $\exp(\pi i \mu)$ with an irrational μ. Furthermore, assume that this metric F is bumpy, in [28], Wang shows that there exist at least $2[\frac{n+1}{2}]$ closed geodesics on (S^n, F). Also in [28], Wang shows that for every bumpy Finsler metric F on S^n satisfying $\frac{9\lambda^2}{4(1+\lambda)^2} < K \le 1$, there exist two prime elliptic closed geodesics provided the number of closed geodesics on (S^n, F) is finite.

In this paper, we generalize the above corresponding main results in [27]-[28] and [25] to the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *For a Finsler metric F on the n-dimensional sphere S^n with reversibility λ and flag curvature K satisfying $\left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda}\right)^2 < K \le 1$, either there exist infinitely many closed geodesics, or there exist at least two elliptic closed geodesics and each linearized Poincaré map has at least one eigenvalue of the form $e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}$ with θ being an irrational multiple of π.*

Notice that this result is not new for $n = 2$. Indeed, Long and Wang [22] proved that for every Finsler 2-dimensional sphere (S^2, F), there exist at least two irrationally elliptic prime closed geodesics provided the number of prime closed geodesics is finite.

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 in Section 3 contains mainly three ingredients: the common index jump theorem of [23], Morse theory and some new symmetric information about index jump. In applications of Morse theory, we follow some ideas from [27]-[28]. So, for the sake of shortening the length of this paper, we omitted lists of some well-known results about critical modules of closed geodesics, the mean index identity and Betti numbers of the free loop space $\overline{\Lambda}S^n$ which can be found in [21] and [27]-[28]. In addition, we also follow some ideas from our recent preprint [10].

In this paper, let $\mathbf{N}$, $\mathbf{N}_0$, $\mathbf{Z}$, $\mathbf{Q}$, $\mathbf{R}$, and $\mathbf{C}$ denote the sets of natural integers, non-negative integers, integers, rational numbers, real numbers, and complex numbers respectively. We define the functions

$$\begin{cases} [a] = \max\{k \in \mathbf{Z} \,|\, k \le a\}, & E(a) = \min\{k \in \mathbf{Z} \,|\, k \ge a\}, \\ \varphi(a) = E(a) - [a], & \{a\} = a - [a]. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

Especially, $\varphi(a) = 0$ if $a \in \mathbf{Z}$, and $\varphi(a) = 1$ if $a \notin \mathbf{Z}$.

2 Index iteration theory of closed geodesics

In [17] of 1999, Long has established the basic normal form decomposition of symplectic matrices. Based on this result he has further established the precise iteration formulae of indices of symplectic paths in [18] of 2000. Note that this index iteration formulae works for Morse indices of iterated closed geodesics on spheres (cf. [16] and Chap. 12 of [19]). Since every closed geodesic on a sphere must be orientable. Then by Theorem 1.1 of [16], the initial Morse index of a closed geodesic on a Finsler S^n coincides with the index of a corresponding symplectic path.

As in [18], denote by

$$N_1(\lambda, b) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & b \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \text{for } \lambda = \pm 1,\ b \in \mathbf{R}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$D(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \text{for } \lambda \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0, \pm 1\}, \tag{2.2}$$

$$R(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \text{for } \theta \in (0, \pi) \cup (\pi, 2\pi), \tag{2.3}$$

$$N_2(e^{\theta\sqrt{-1}}, B) = \begin{pmatrix} R(\theta) & B \\ 0 & R(\theta) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \text{for } \theta \in (0, \pi) \cup (\pi, 2\pi) \text{ and}$$

$$B=\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 \\ b_3 & b_4 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } b_j \in \mathbf{R}, \text{ and } b_2 \neq b_3. \tag{2.4}$$

Here $N_2(e^{\theta\sqrt{-1}}, B)$ is non-trivial if $(b_2-b_3)\sin\theta<0$, and trivial if $(b_2-b_3)\sin\theta>0$.

As in [18], the $\diamond$-sum (direct sum) of any two real matrices is defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & B_1 \\ C_1 & D_1 \end{pmatrix}_{2i\times 2i} \diamond \begin{pmatrix} A_2 & B_2 \\ C_2 & D_2 \end{pmatrix}_{2j\times 2j} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 & B_2 \\ C_1 & 0 & D_1 & 0 \\ 0 & C_2 & 0 & D_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For every $M\in \mathrm{Sp}(2n-2)$, the homotopy set $\Omega(M)$ of M in $\mathrm{Sp}(2n-2)$ is defined by

$$\Omega(M)=\{N\in \mathrm{Sp}(2n-2)\,|\,\sigma(N)\cap\mathbf{U}=\sigma(M)\cap\mathbf{U}\equiv\Gamma \text{ and } \nu_\omega(N)=\nu_\omega(M),\ \forall\omega\in\Gamma\},$$

where $\sigma(M)$ denotes the spectrum of M, $\nu_\omega(M)=\dim_{\mathbf{C}}\ker_{\mathbf{C}}(M-\omega I)$ for $\omega\in\mathbf{U}$. Next we write $\nu(M)=\nu_1(M)$ when $\omega=1$. The component $\Omega^0(M)$ of P in $\mathrm{Sp}(2n-2)$ is defined by the path connected component of $\Omega(M)$ containing M.

Theorem 2.1. (cf. Theorem 7.8 of [17], Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 of [18], cf. also Theorem 1.8.10, Lemma 2.3.5 and Theorem 8.3.1 of [19]) *For every $P\in \mathrm{Sp}(2n-2)$, there exists a continuous path $f\in\Omega^0(P)$ such that $f(0)=P$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} f(1) \ =\ & N_1(1,1)^{\diamond p_-}\diamond I_{2p_0}\diamond N_1(1,-1)^{\diamond p_+}\diamond N_1(-1,1)^{\diamond q_-}\diamond(-I_{2q_0})\diamond N_1(-1,-1)^{\diamond q_+} \\ & \diamond N_2(e^{\alpha_1\sqrt{-1}},A_1)\diamond\cdots\diamond N_2(e^{\alpha_{r_*}\sqrt{-1}},A_{r_*})\diamond N_2(e^{\beta_1\sqrt{-1}},B_1)\diamond\cdots\diamond N_2(e^{\beta_{r_0}\sqrt{-1}},B_{r_0}) \\ & \diamond R(\theta_1)\diamond\cdots\diamond R(\theta_{r'})\diamond R(\theta_{r'+1})\diamond\cdots\diamond R(\theta_r)\diamond H(2)^{\diamond h}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where $\frac{\theta_j}{2\pi}\in\mathbf{Q}\cap(0,1)$ for $1\le j\le r'$ and $\frac{\theta_j}{2\pi}\notin\mathbf{Q}\cap(0,1)$ for $r'+1\le j\le r$; $N_2(e^{\alpha_j\sqrt{-1}},A_j)$'s are non-trivial and $N_2(e^{\beta_j\sqrt{-1}},B_j)$'s are trivial, and non-negative integers $p_-,p_0,p_+,q_-,q_0,q_+,r,r_*,r_0,h$ satisfy

$$p_-+p_0+p_++q_-+q_0+q_++r+2r_*+2r_0+h=n-1. \tag{2.6}$$

Let $\gamma\in\mathcal{P}_\tau(2n-2)=\{\gamma\in C([0,\tau],\mathrm{Sp}(2n-2))\,|\,\gamma(0)=I\}$. Denote the basic normal form decomposition of $P\equiv\gamma(\tau)$ by (2.5). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} i(\gamma^m) \ =\ & m(i(\gamma)+p_-+p_0-r)+2\sum_{j=1}^{r}E\left(\frac{m\theta_j}{2\pi}\right)-r \\ & -p_--p_0-\frac{1+(-1)^m}{2}(q_0+q_+)+2\sum_{j=1}^{r_*}\varphi\left(\frac{m\alpha_j}{2\pi}\right)-2r_*, \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

$$\nu(\gamma^m)\ =\ \nu(\gamma)+\frac{1+(-1)^m}{2}(q_-+2q_0+q_+)+2\varsigma(m,\gamma(\tau)), \tag{2.8}$$

where we denote by

$$\varsigma(m,\gamma(\tau))=r-\sum_{j=1}^{r}\varphi(\frac{m\theta_j}{2\pi})+r_*-\sum_{j=1}^{r_*}\varphi(\frac{m\alpha_j}{2\pi})+r_0-\sum_{j=1}^{r_0}\varphi(\frac{m\beta_j}{2\pi}). \tag{2.9}$$

The following is the common index jump theorem of Long and Zhu in [23].

Theorem 2.2. (cf. Theorems 4.1-4.3 of [23]) *Let* $\gamma_k, k = 1, \ldots, q$ *be a finite collection of symplectic paths. Let* $M_k = \gamma_k(\tau_k) \in Sp(2n-2)$*. Suppose the mean index* $\hat{i}(\gamma_k, 1) = \lim_{m\to+\infty} \frac{i(\gamma_k, m)}{m} > 0$*, for any* $k = 1, \ldots, q$*. Then there exist infinitely many* $(N, m_1, \ldots, m_q) \in \mathbf{N}^{q+1}$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu(\gamma_k, 2m_k - 1) &= \nu(\gamma_k, 1), && (2.10)\\
\nu(\gamma_k, 2m_k + 1) &= \nu(\gamma_k, 1), && (2.11)\\
i(\gamma_k, 2m_k - 1) + \nu(\gamma_k, 2m_k - 1) &= 2N - \left(i(\gamma_k, 1) + 2S^+_{M_k}(1) - \nu(\gamma_k, 1)\right), && (2.12)\\
i(\gamma_k, 2m_k + 1) &= 2N + i(\gamma_k, 1), && (2.13)\\
i(\gamma_k, 2m_k) &\geq 2N - \frac{e(M_k)}{2}, && (2.14)\\
i(\gamma_k, 2m_k) + \nu(\gamma_k, 2m_k) &\leq 2N + \frac{e(M_k)}{2}, && (2.15)
\end{aligned}$$

for every $k = 1, \ldots, q$*, where* $S^+_{M_k}(1)$ *is the splitting number of* M_k *at* 1*. Moreover we have*

$$\min\left\{\left\{\frac{m_k\theta}{\pi}\right\}, 1 - \left\{\frac{m_k\theta}{\pi}\right\}\right\} < \delta, \qquad (2.16)$$

whenever $e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} \in \sigma(M_k)$ *and* δ *can be chosen as small as we want (cf. (4.43) of [23]). More precisely, by (4.10) and (4.40) in [23] , we have*

$$m_k = \left(\left[\frac{N}{M\hat{i}(\gamma_k, 1)}\right] + \chi_k\right) M, \quad 1 \leq k \leq q, \qquad (2.17)$$

where $\chi_k = 0$ *or* 1 *for* $1 \leq k \leq q$ *and* $\{\frac{m_k\theta}{\pi}\} = 0$ *whenever* $e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} \in \sigma(M_k)$ *and* $\frac{\theta}{\pi} \in \mathbf{Q}$ *for some* $1 \leq k \leq q$*.*

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we make the following assumption

(FCG) *Suppose that there exist only finitely many prime closed geodesics* $\{c_k\}_{k=1}^q$*,* $k = 1, \cdots, q$ *on* (S^n, F) *satisfying* $\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}\right)^2 < K \leq 1$*.*

If the flag curvature K of (S^n, F) satisfies $\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}\right)^2 < K \leq 1$, then every non-constant closed geodesic c must satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
i(c) &\geq n - 1, && (3.1)\\
\hat{i}(c) &> n - 1, && (3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

where (3.1) follows from Theorem 3 and Lemma 3 of [24], (3.2) follows from Lemma 2 of [25]. Thus it follows from Theorem 2.2 of [23] (or, Theorem 10.2.3 of [19]) that

$$i(c^{m+1}) - i(c^m) - \nu(c^m) \geq i(c) - \frac{e(P_c)}{2} \geq 0, \quad \forall m \in \mathbf{N}, \qquad (3.3)$$

where the last inequality holds by (3.1) and the fact that $e(P_c) \leq 2(n-1)$.

By (3.2) it follows from Theorem 2.2 that there exist infinitely many $(q+1)$-tuples $(N, m_1, \cdots, m_q) \in \mathbf{N}^{q+1}$ such that for any $1 \leq k \leq q$, there holds

$$\begin{align}
i(c_k^{2m_k-1}) + \nu(c_k^{2m_k-1}) &= 2N - \left(i(c_k) + 2S_{M_k}^{+}(1) - \nu(c_k)\right), \tag{3.4}\\
i(c_k^{2m_k}) &\geq 2N - \frac{e(P_{c_k})}{2}, \tag{3.5}\\
i(c_k^{2m_k}) + \nu(c_k^{2m_k}) &\leq 2N + \frac{e(P_{c_k})}{2}, \tag{3.6}\\
i(c_k^{2m_k+1}) &= 2N + i(c_k). \tag{3.7}
\end{align}$$

where, together with (3.1), (3.3) and the fact $e(P_{c_k}) \leq 2(n-1)$, $1 \leq k \leq q$, yields

$$\begin{align}
i(c_k^{m}) + \nu(c_k^{m}) &\leq i(c_k^{2m_k}), \qquad \forall\ 1 \leq m < 2m_k, \tag{3.8}\\
i(c_k^{2m_k}) + \nu(c_k^{2m_k}) &\leq 2N + \frac{e(P_{c_k})}{2} \leq 2N + (n-1), \tag{3.9}\\
2N + (n-1) &\leq i(c_k^{m}), \qquad \forall\ m > 2m_k. \tag{3.10}
\end{align}$$

Claim 1: *Under the condition (FCG), there exists at least one closed geodesic among* $\{c_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq q}$, *without loss of generality, saying* c_1, *satisfying* $i(c_1^{2m_1}) + \nu(c_1^{2m_1}) = 2N + (n-1)$.

If $i(c_k^{2m_k}) + \nu(c_k^{2m_k}) \leq 2N + (n-2)$ for any $1 \leq k \leq q$, then by (3.8)-(3.10) we have

$$\begin{align}
i(c_k^{m}) + \nu(c_k^{m}) &\leq i(c_k^{2m_k}), \quad \forall\ 1 \leq m < 2m_k, \tag{3.11}\\
i(c_k^{2m_k}) + \nu(c_k^{2m_k}) &\leq 2N + (n-2), \tag{3.12}\\
2N + (n-1) &\leq i(c_k^{m}), \quad \forall\ m > 2m_k, \tag{3.13}
\end{align}$$

So (6.9)-(6.11) in [27] hold. By Claims 1 and 2 in [27], we obtain

$$\sum_{p=0}^{2N+(n-2)} (-1)^p M_p = 2NB(n,1) = \begin{cases} -\frac{Nn}{n-1}, & \text{if } \ n \in 2\mathbf{N}, \\ \frac{N(n+1)}{n-1}, & \text{if } \ n \in 2\mathbf{N}-1, \end{cases} \tag{3.14}$$

where the Morse-type number M_p is defined by $M_p = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq q,\, m \geq 1} \dim \overline{C}_p(E, c_k^m)$ for all $p \in \mathbf{Z}$ and the critical module (cf. [6]) is defined by

$$\overline{C}_p(E, c_k^m) \equiv H_p\left((\Lambda(c_k^m) \cup S^1 \cdot c_k^m)/S^1, \Lambda(c_k^m)/S^1; \mathbf{Q}\right). \tag{3.15}$$

Then the Morse inequality, together with (3.14), yields a contradiction with the condition (FCG). Here we omitted the details because the proof is exactly the same as those in pages 1582-1585 of [27]. This completes the proof of Claim 1.

In order to obtain more information about the closed geodesic c_1, we need to know the precise expression of $i(c_k^{2m_k})$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} i(c_k^{2m_k}) &= 2m_k(i(c_k)+S^+_{M_k}(1)-C(M_k)) \\ &\quad +2\sum_{\theta\in(0,2\pi)} E\left(\frac{m_k\theta}{\pi}\right)S^-_{M_k}(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})-(S^+_{M_k}(1)+C(M_k)) \\ &= 2I(k,m_k)-(S^+_{M_k}(1)+C(M_k)) \\ &= 2(N+\Delta_k)-(S^+_{M_k}(1)+C(M_k)) \\ &= 2N-S^+_{M_k}(1)-C(M_k)+2\Delta_k, \qquad 1\le k\le q. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

where the first equality follows from Theorem 2.1 of [23] (cf. Theorem 9.3.1 of [19]), the second and third equalities follow from (4.38), (4.42) and (4.45) in pages 349-350 of [23], and denoted by

$$\Delta_k=\sum_{0<\{m_k\theta/\pi\}<\delta} S^-_{M_k}(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}), \qquad C(M_k)=\sum_{\theta\in(0,2\pi)} S^-_{M_k}(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}). \tag{3.17}$$

Note that $\{\frac{m_k\theta}{\pi}\}=0$ when $\frac{\theta}{\pi}\in\mathbf{Q}$ by Theorem 2.2, so Δ_k only counts the number of those eigenvalues $e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}\in\sigma(M_k)$ and θ being an irrational multiple of π in the decomposition (2.5) of P_{c_k} satisfying $0<\{m_k\theta/\pi\}<\delta$.

Notice that $\omega=e^{\alpha\sqrt{-1}}$ and its conjugate $\overline{\omega}$ are bouble eigenvalues of nontrivial normal form $M=N_2(e^{\alpha\sqrt{-1}},A)$ by Lemma 1.9.2 of [19], so exactly half of eigenvalues $\{\omega,\overline{\omega}\}$'s of all nontrivial $N_2(e^{\alpha\sqrt{-1}},A)$'s with $\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\notin\mathbf{Q}$ satisfy $0<\{m_k\alpha/\pi\}<\delta$ and another half of ones satisfy $1-\delta<\{m_k\alpha/\pi\}<1$. In addition, by List 9.1.12 of [19], it yields $(S^-_M(\overline{\omega}),S^-_M(\omega))=(S^+_M(\omega),S^-_M(\omega))=(1,1)$. So by the definition (3.17) of Δ_k, there holds

$$\Delta_k\le r_k-r'_k+r_{k_*}-r'_{k_*}, \tag{3.18}$$

where and below r_k and r_{k_*} denote the numbers of normal forms $R(\theta)$ and nontrivial normal forms $N_2(e^{\alpha\sqrt{-1}},A)$ in the decomposition (2.5) of P_{c_k}, respectively, and r'_k and r'_{k_*} denote the numbers of rational normal forms $R(\theta)$ and rational nontrivial normal forms $N_2(e^{\alpha\sqrt{-1}},A)$, respectively.

Claim 2: *The closed geodesic c_1 found in Claim 1 is elliptic one whose linearized Poincaré map P_{c_1} has at least one eigenvalue which is of the form $\exp(i\mu_1\pi)$ with an irrational μ_1. Moreover, there holds*

$$r_1-r'_1=\Delta_1, \qquad r_1-r'_1\ge 1. \tag{3.19}$$

Firstly by (3.9) and the fact $i(c_1^{2m_1})+\nu(c_1^{2m_1})=2N+(n-1)$ from Claim 1, it yields $e(P_{c_1})=2(n-1)$, i.e., the closed geodesic c_1 is elliptic.

By (2.8) and (2.9) of Theorem 2.1 and the fact $\nu(c_k)=p_{k_-}+2p_{k_0}+p_{k_+}$ we have

$$\nu(c_k^{2m_k}) = \nu(c_k)+\frac{1+(-1)^{2m_k}}{2}(q_{k_-}+2q_{k_0}+q_{k_+})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+2r_k - 2\sum_{j=1}^{r_k}\varphi(\frac{m_k\theta_j}{\pi}) + 2r_{k_*} - 2\sum_{j=1}^{r_{k*}}\varphi(\frac{m_k\alpha_j}{\pi}) + 2r_{k_0} - 2\sum_{j=1}^{r_{k_0}}\varphi(\frac{m_k\beta_j}{\pi}) \\ = \;\; & p_{k_-} + 2p_{k_0} + p_{k_+} + q_{k_-} + 2q_{k_0} + q_{k_+} + 2r'_k + 2r'_{k_*} + 2r'_{k_0}, \quad \forall\ 1 \le k \le q, \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

where and below $p_{k_-}, p_{k_0}, p_{k_+}, q_{k_-}, q_{k_0}, q_{k_+}, r_k, r_{k_*}, r_{k_0}, h_k$ denote the corresponding integers as those in the decomposition (2.5) of P_{c_k}. And r'_{k_*} and r'_{k_0} denote the number of rational normal forms $N_2(e^{\alpha\sqrt{-1}}, A)$ and $N_2(e^{\beta\sqrt{-1}}, B)$ in the decomposition (2.5) of P_{c_k}, respectively.

Now by (3.16), (3.20) and the equality $i(c_1^{2m_1}) + \nu(c_1^{2m_1}) = 2N + (n-1)$, it yields

$$\begin{aligned} 2N + (n-1) &= i(c_1^{2m_1}) + \nu(c_1^{2m_1}) \\ &= 2N - S^+_{M_1}(1) - C(M_1) + 2\Delta_1 \\ &\quad + p_{1_-} + 2p_{1_0} + p_{1_+} + q_{1_-} + 2q_{1_0} + q_{1_+} + 2r'_1 + 2r'_{1_*} + 2r'_{1_0} \\ &= 2N - (p_{1_-} + p_{1_0}) - (q_{1_0} + q_{1_+} + r_1 + 2r_{1_*}) + 2\Delta_1 \\ &\quad + p_{1_-} + 2p_{1_0} + p_{1_+} + q_{1_-} + 2q_{1_0} + q_{1_+} + 2r'_1 + 2r'_{1_*} + 2r'_{1_0} \\ &= 2N + p_{1_0} + p_{1_+} + q_{1_-} + q_{1_0} + 2r'_{1_0} - 2(r_{1_*} - r'_{1_*}) + 2r'_1 - r_1 + 2\Delta_1, \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

where the third equality follows from $S^+_{M_1}(1) = p_{1_-} + p_{1_0}$ and $C(M_1) = q_{1_0} + q_{1_+} + r_1 + 2r_{1_*}$ by some results about splitting numbers in Lemma 9.1.5 and List 9.1.12 of [19].

Then we rewrite (3.21) and (2.6) as follows

$$p_{1_0} + p_{1_+} + q_{1_-} + q_{1_0} + 2r'_{1_0} - 2(r_{1_*} - r'_{1_*}) + 2r'_1 - r_1 + 2\Delta_1 = n - 1, \tag{3.22}$$

$$p_{1_-} + p_{1_0} + p_{1_+} + q_{1_-} + q_{1_0} + q_{1_+} + r_1 + 2r_{1_*} + 2r_{1_0} + h_1 = n - 1. \tag{3.23}$$

So comparing with these two equalities, it yields

$$p_{1_-} + q_{1_+} + 2(r_{1_*} - r'_{1_*} + r_1 - r'_1 - \Delta_1) + 2r_{1_*} + 2(r_{1_0} - r'_{1_0}) + h_1 = 0. \tag{3.24}$$

Note that every term in (3.24) is a non-negative integer by (3.18), so we obtain

$$p_{1_-} = q_{1_+} = r_1 - r'_1 - \Delta_1 = r_{1_*} = r_{1_0} - r'_{1_0} = h_1 = 0. \tag{3.25}$$

Firstly, if $\dim \overline{C}_{2N+(n-1)}(E, c_k^{2m_k}) = 0$, $1 \le k \le q$, then the iterate $c_k^{2m_k}$ has no contribution to the Morse type number $M_{2N+(n-1)}$. Then (3.14) holds and the same argument in Claim 1 gives a contradiction.

Thus there exist at least c_{k_0} such that $\dim \overline{C}_{2N+(n-1)}(E, c_{k_0}^{2m_{k_0}}) \neq 0$. By the shifting property of critical modules (cf. Proposition 2.1 of [21]) and (i) of Proposition 2.3 of [21], it yields $i(c_{k_0}^{2m_{k_0}}) \le 2N + (n-1) \le i(c_{k_0}^{2m_{k_0}}) + \nu(c_{k_0}^{2m_{k_0}})$ and $k_{\nu(c_{k_0}^{2m_{k_0}})}^{\beta(c_{k_0}^{2m_{k_0}})} \neq 0$ (cf. Definition 2.2 of [21] for notations $k_*^{\pm}(c)$'s). Together with (3.9), this implies $i(c_{k_0}^{2m_{k_0}}) + \nu(c_{k_0}^{2m_{k_0}}) = 2N + (n-1)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that c_{k_0} is just c_1 since their ellipticity and index properties including (3.25) are the same by above arguments.

In order to prove (3.19), we assume that $r_1 - r_1' = 0$, i.e., all basic normal forms $R(\theta)$'s in (2.5) are rational, where, in this case, c_k is called a rational closed geodesic in [21]. Note that $k_{\nu(c_1^{2m_1})}^{\beta(c_1^{2m_1})} \neq 0$ by above arguments, let $g = c^{T(c_1)}$ (cf. Lemma 5.2 of [27] for the definition of $T(c_1)$). And an easy computation (note that $r_1 = r_1'$ and (3.25) is crucial in this proof, cf. Theorem 4.1 and its proof in [21]) gives

$$i(g^m) + \nu(g^m) = m(i(g) + \nu(g) - (n-1)) + (n-1), \qquad \forall\ m \geq 1. \tag{3.26}$$

Then the Hingston's result (cf. Proposition 1 of [13], [14] and Theorem 4.2 of [27]) can applied to obtain the existence of infinitely many closed geodesics. See the details (6.37)-(6.40) in page 1857 of [27] (see Theorem 4.1 and its proof of [21] as well) for this proof. Thus this also contradicts to the assumption (FCG).

So there holds $r_1 - r_1' \geq 1$, i.e., there exist at least one eigenvalue of P_{c_1} which is of the form $\exp(i\mu_1\pi)$ with an irrational μ_1. This completes the proof of Claim 2.

Claim 3: *Under the condition (FCG), there exists another elliptic closed geodesic among* $\{c_k\}_{2\leq k\leq q}$ *and its linearized Poincaré map* P_{c_2} *has at least one eigenvalue which is of the form* $\exp(i\mu_2\pi)$ *with an irrational* μ_2.

It follows from Theorem 2.2 that there exist also infinitely many $(q+1)$-tuples $(N', m_1', \cdots, m_q') \in \mathbf{N}^{q+1}$ such that for any $1 \leq k \leq q$, together with (3.16), there holds

$$\begin{aligned}
&i(c_k^m) + \nu(c_k^m) \leq i(c_k^{2m_k'}), \qquad \forall\ 1 \leq m < 2m_k', &(3.27)\\
&i(c_k^{2m_k'}) + \nu(c_k^{2m_k'}) \leq 2N' + \frac{e(P_{c_k})}{2} \leq 2N' + (n-1), &(3.28)\\
&2N' + (n-1) \leq i(c_k^m), \qquad \forall\ m > 2m_k', &(3.29)\\
&i(c_k^{2m_k'}) = 2N' - S_{M_k}^+(1) - C(M_k) + 2\Delta_k', &(3.30)
\end{aligned}$$

where, furthermore, Δ_k in (3.16) and Δ_k' in (3.30) satisfy the following relationship

$$\Delta_k' + \Delta_k = r_k - r_k' + 2(r_{k_*} - r_{k_*}'), \qquad \forall\ 1 \leq k \leq q, \tag{3.31}$$

where the equality follows from (especially the term (c) of) Theorem 4.2 of [23] or Lemma 3.18 and Corollary 3.19 of [9]. Then by (3.25) it yields

$$\Delta_1' + \Delta_1 = r_1 - r_1'. \tag{3.32}$$

At first, similar to the proof of (3.21), by (2.5), (3.19)-(3.20), (3.25) and (3.30)-(3.32) we obtain

$$i(c_1^{2m_1'}) + \nu(c_1^{2m_1'}) \quad = \quad 2N' - S_{M_1}^+(1) - C(M_1) + 2\Delta_1'$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +p_{1_-} + 2p_{1_0} + p_{1_+} + q_{1_-} + 2q_{1_0} + q_{1_+} + 2r'_1 + 2r'_{1_*} + 2r'_{1_0} \\
= \;& 2N' - (p_{1_-} + p_{1_0}) - (q_{1_0} + q_{1_+} + r_1 + 2r_{1_*}) + 2(r_1 - r'_1) - 2\Delta_1 \\
& +p_{1_-} + 2p_{1_0} + p_{1_+} + q_{1_-} + 2q_{1_0} + q_{1_+} + 2r'_1 + 2r'_{1_*} + 2r'_{1_0} \\
= \;& 2N' - (p_{1_-} + p_{1_0}) - (q_{1_0} + q_{1_+} + r_1 + 2r_{1_*}) \\
& +p_{1_-} + 2p_{1_0} + p_{1_+} + q_{1_-} + 2q_{1_0} + q_{1_+} + 2r'_1 + 2r'_{1_*} + 2r'_{1_0} \\
= \;& 2N' + p_{1_0} + p_{1_+} + q_{1_-} + q_{1_0} + 2r'_{1_0} - 2(r_{1_*} - r'_{1_*}) + 2r'_1 - r_1 \\
= \;& 2N' + p_{1_0} + p_{1_+} + q_{1_-} + q_{1_0} + 2r'_{1_0} + r'_1 - (r_1 - r'_1) \\
\le \;& 2N' + (n-2), \qquad (3.33)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used (3.19) and (3.25) in the third and fifth equalities, respectively, and use (3.19) and the fact $p_{1_0} + p_{1_+} + q_{1_-} + q_{1_0} + 2r'_{1_0} + r'_1 \le n-1$ in (2.5) in the last inequality.

Assume that there holds $i(c_k^{2m'_k}) + \nu(c_k^{2m'_k}) < 2N' + (n-1)$ for any $2 \le k \le q$, then by (3.27)-(3.29) and (3.33) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i(c_k^m) + \nu(c_k^m) \le i(c_k^{2m'_k}), \qquad \forall\ 1 \le m < 2m'_k, && (3.34) \\
& i(c_k^{2m'_k}) + \nu(c_k^{2m'_k}) \le 2N' + (n-2), && (3.35) \\
& 2N' + (n-1) \le i(c_k^m), \qquad \forall\ m > 2m'_k. && (3.36)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus as in Claim 1, we have

$$\sum_{p=0}^{2N'+(n-2)} (-1)^p M_p = 2N'B(n,1). \tag{3.37}$$

Then the Morse inequality gives a contradiction.

Consequently there exist at least one closed geodesic among $\{c_k\}_{2\le k\le q}$, saying c_2, satisfying $i(c_2^{2m'_2}) + \nu(c_2^{2m'_2}) = 2N' + (n-1)$. Then the same proof as those in Claim 2 implies that c_2 is another elliptic closed geodesic whose linearized Poincaré map P_{c_2} has at least one eigenvalue which is of the form $\exp(i\mu_2\pi)$ with an irrational μ_2.

Now Claims 1-3 complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. ∎

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank sincerely Professor Yiming Long for his encouragement, valuable comments, and helpful discussions with him. The author sincerely thank Professor Anatole Katok for his support and hospitality to him on his visit to the Department of Mathematics of Pennsylvania State University during August 2012 to August 2013. The author would like to thank Dr. Hui Liu reminding him that a missed term should be added to the right side of (3.18).

References

[1] W. Ballmann, G. Thobergsson and W. Ziller, Closed geodesics on positively curved manifolds. *Ann. of Math.* 116 (1982), 213-247.

[2] W. Ballmann, G. Thobergsson and W. Ziller, Existence of closed geodesics on positively curved manifolds. *J. Diff. Geom.* 18 (1983), 221-252.

[3] V. Bangert, On the existence of closed geodesics on two-spheres. *Inter. J. Math.* 4 (1993), 1-10.

[4] V. Bangert and Y. Long, The existence of two closed geodesics on every Finsler 2-sphere. *Math. Ann.* 346 (2010), 335-366.

[5] R. Bott, On the iteration of closed geodesics and the Sturm intersection theory. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 9 (1956), 171-206.

[6] K. C. Chang, Infinite Dimensional Morse Theory and Multiple Solution Problems. Birkhäuser. Boston. 1993.

[7] H. Duan and Y. Long, Multiple closed geodesics on bumpy Finsler n-spheres. *J. Diff. Equa.* 233 (2007), 221-240.

[8] H. Duan and Y. Long, Multiplicity and stability of closed geodesics on bumpy Finsler 3-spheres. *Cal. Variations and PDEs.* 31 (2008), 483-496.

[9] H. Duan and Y. Long, The index growth and mutiplicity of closed geodesics. *J. Funct. Anal.* 259 (2010), 1850-1913.

[10] H. Duan and Y. Long, Common index periodicity theorem for symplectic paths and non-hyperbolic closed geodesics on complex projective spaces. Preprint. 2015.

[11] H. Duan, Y. Long and W. Wang, Two closed geodesics on compact simply-connected bumpy Finsler manifolds. Submitted. 2014.

[12] J. Franks, Geodesics on S^2 and periodic points of annulus diffeomorphisms. *Invent. Math.* 108 (1992), 403-418.

[13] N. Hingston, On the growth of the number of closed geodesics on the two-sphere. *Inter. Math. Research Notices.* 9 (1993), 253-262.

[14] N. Hingston, On the length of closed geodesics on a two-sphere. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 125 (1997), 3099-3106.

[15] A. B. Katok, Ergodic properties of degenerate integrable Hamiltonian systems. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR.* 37 (1973) (Russian), *Math. USSR-Isv.* 7 (1973), 535-571.

[16] C. Liu, The relation of the Morse index of closed geodesics with the Maslov-type index of symplectic paths. *Acta Math. Sinica.* 21 (2005), 237-248.

[17] Y. Long, Bott formula of the Maslov-type index theory. *Pacific J. Math.* 187 (1999), 113-149.

[18] Y. Long, Precise iteration formulae of the Maslov-type index theory and ellipticity of closed characteristics. *Adv. Math.* 154 (2000), 76-131.

[19] Y. Long, Index Theory for Symplectic Paths with Applications. Progress in Math. 207, Birkhäuser. 2002.

[20] Y. Long, Multiplicity and stability of closed geodesics on Finsler 2-spheres. *J. Euro. Math. Soc.* 8 (2006), 341-353.

[21] Y. Long and H. Duan, Multiple closed geodesics on 3-spheres. *Adv. Math.* 221 (2009), 1757-1803.

[22] Y. Long and W. Wang, Stability of closed geodesics on Finsler 2-spheres. *J. Funct. Anal.* 255 (2008), 620-641.

[23] Y. Long and C. Zhu, Closed charateristics on compact convex hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$. *Ann. of Math.* 155 (2002), 317-368.

[24] H.-B. Rademacher, A sphere theorem for non-reversible Finsler metric. *Math. Ann.* 328 (2004), 373-387.

[25] H.-B. Rademacher, Existence of closed geodesics on positively curved Finsler manifolds. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* 27 (2007), 957-969.

[26] H.-B. Rademacher, The second closed geodesic on Finsler spheres of dimension $n > 2$. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 362 (2010), 1413-1421.

[27] W. Wang, Closed geodesics on positively curved Finsler spheres. *Adv. Math.* 218 (2008), 1566-1603.

[28] W. Wang, On a conjecture of Anosov. *Adv. Math.* 230 (2012), 1597-1617.

[29] W. Wang, Closed geodesics on Finsler spheres. *Cal. Variations and PDEs.* 45 (2012), 253-272.

[30] W. Wang, On the average indices of closed geodesics on positively curved Finsler spheres. *Math. Ann.* 355 (2013), 1049-1065.

[31] W. Ziller, Geometry of the Katok examples. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. 3 (1982), 135-157.*